



**APPROVED MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF HERITAGE WESTERN CAPE (HWC)
ARCHAEOLOGY, PALAEOLOGY AND METEORITES COMMITTEE (APM)
HELD ON 3 NOVEMBER 2021, WEDNESDAY ON MICROSOFT TEAMS CAPE TOWN AT 09:00 AM**

1. Opening and Welcome

The Chairperson, Dr Lita Webley officially opened the meeting at 9:00 and welcomed everyone present.

2. Attendance

Members

Dr Lita Webley (LW)
Dr Ragna Redelstorff (RR)
Dr Jayson Orton (JO)
Ms Cecilene Muller (CM)
Mr John Gribble (JG)
Dr Wendy Black (WB)

Members of Staff

Ms Aneeqah Brown (AB) Secretariat
Ms Stephanie Barnardt (SB)
Mr Reagon Fortune (RF)
Ms Change Herman (CH)
Ms Penelope Meyer (PM)
Ms Ayanda Mdludlu (AM)
Mr Robin George (RG)
Ms Corne Nortje (CN)

Visitors

Ms Jenna Lavin
Mr Nic Wiltshire
Dr Peter Nilssen

Observers

None

3. Apologies

Ms Emmylou Bailey (EB)

Absent

None

4. Approval of Agenda

4.1 3 November 2021

The Committee approved the agenda dated 3 November 2021 with additions and amendments. CM moved to adopt the approval of the agenda and JG seconded the approval.

5. Approval of Minutes and Matters Arising from Previous Meeting

5.1 6 October 2021

The Committee reviewed the minutes dated 6 October 2021 and resolved to approve the minutes with no additions or amendments.

6. Disclosure of Interest

6.1 Item 30.8/9/10: JO & CM

7. Confidential Matters

7.1 None

8. Appointments

8.1 None

9. Administrative Matters

9.1 Outcome of Appeals

Nothing to report

9.2 Timeframe of Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) comment in terms of Section 38 (8)

The Committee discussed the tight time frames imposed on S38(8) submissions by the NEMA regulations. HWC staff noted that they were considering alternative options to try and speed up the process. PM noted the requirement of S10 of the National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA) that decisions must be made in a meeting that is open to the public. It was important that Environmental Assessment Practitioners (EAPs) should be brought on board with regard to HWC requirements and time frames. Committee members commented on the size of some of the applications and SB undertook to look into how these could be reduced or zipped to make accessing and downloading easier and possible for Committee members.

10. Standing Items

10.1 Clanwilliam Dam – Rock Art panels to be moved to long term storage/other alternatives

There was no further feedback on the process of moving the rock art panels to the Clanwilliam Museum. The conservation staff of Iziko Museum have not yet been out to view the panels and the venue but hope to do so early in 2022. The best-case scenario for the panels would be if the Clanwilliam Living Landscape building was donated to the Clanwilliam Museum and the rock art panels remained in place. This will however depend on the Museum's capability to manage both sites.

10.2 Accidental Finds of Skeletal Remains – Prestwich/Muizenberg

SB reported on the accidental finds of two human femur fragments, after aging fuel tanks at the BP garage in Somerset Road had been removed and the excavation filled in. HWC had informed the developer to follow the

S36 process and appoint an archaeologist. This was done and an application is in preparation. Subsequently, it was discovered that the fill being used at Somerset Road was obtained from work at another BP service station in Main Road, Muizenberg. The soil from Muizenberg included stone tools and shells, suggesting that an archaeological site was present there. Therefore cross-contamination of archaeological sites occurred and the possibility exists that the human bone may have come from the Muizenberg BP site. In the case of the Muizenberg service station, the building may be older than 60 years and HWC is investigating further. The City of Cape Town (CoCT) was not aware of the development.

10.3 Criteria for long term storage of human remains - held over until 2022

Noted

10.4 Erf 64, Baboon Point Cave, Elands Bay - Feedback to be provided

The EAP practitioner had not attended the IACom meeting, and therefore the matter was not discussed further.

11 Site Inspection

12 Proposed Site Inspection

12.1 None

13 Site Inspection Report

13.1 Erf 9795, 4 Somerset Rd, Green Point, Cape Town

SB reported that the report is being prepared.

13.2 Erf 92729, 80 Main Road, Muizenberg

SB reported that the report is being prepared.

13.3 Lourens River

The report was circulated to Committee members. SB noted that she had been in discussion with the Provincial Coastal Management Programme (PCMP) committee regarding the mapping of sensitive coastal archaeological areas.

14 Report back on Council

None

15. Policy and Procedures

15.1 SAPS and HWC Accidental Finds Protocol and Procedure - added visual aid

AM was busy with adding the visual aid to the Protocol document

15.2 National Policy on the Repatriation and Restitution of Human Remains and Heritage Objects

WB reported that there was no new information on this matter, apart from the fact that the national Department of Sports, Arts and Culture (DSAC) is busy constituting a new advisory committee pertaining to the legislation.

15.3 Circulation/Workshop of accidental finds of human remains protocol

Nothing to report

15.4 Heritage inspectors - APM Committee

The form for heritage inspectors was with the legal advisor.

MATTERS DISCUSSED

16. SECTION 35 PERMIT APPLICATION

16.1 None

17. SECTION 35 PERMIT REPORTS

17.1 None

18. SECTION 35 REGISTRATION OF REPOSITORIES

18.1 None

19. SECTION 36 PERMIT APPLICATION

19.1 None

20. SECTION 36 PERMIT REPORT

20.1 None

21. SECTION 38 NID

21.1 None

22. SECTION 38 WORKPLAN APPLICATIONS

22.1 None

23. SECTION 38 WORKPLAN REPORT

23.1 None

24. SECTION 38 (8) HIA

24.1 None

25. SECTION 38 (4) HIA

25.1 None

26. Section 34 - ALTERATIONS/ADDITIONS/PARTIAL DEMOLITION

26.1 None

27. SECTION 38 (1) NID

27.1 None

27. REQUEST FOR EXCEPTION/OPINION/ADVICE

27.1 None

28. SECTION 38 (8) TO OTHER AUTHORITIES

28.1 **Proposed development of the Kolkies Solar Energy Facility Cluster on Farm Die Brak No.241 and Farm No. 240, Touwsrivier: MA
HM/ CAPE WINELANDS/ WITZENBERG/ FARM DIE BRAK NO.241 AND FARM NO. 240
Case No: 21041902SB0419E**

Revised HIA and associated documentation prepared by CTS Heritage

Ms Stephanie Barnardt introduced the item.

Ms Jenna Lavin and Mr Nic Wilshire were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The revised report had been referred back to the Committee.
- The Committee noted that the additional archaeological survey had a much improved coverage of the study area.

COMMENT

The Committee endorsed the Archaeological Impact Assessment (AIA) and Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) by CTS Heritage dated September 2021 with the following recommendations on page 51 of the HIA:

- Alternative 2 as articulated in section 5.3 above is preferred from a heritage perspective. I.e. Proceeding as anticipated with 4 projects (Vygie, Kolkies, Compton and Scholtzbos) in their preferred locations as per Map 1a and finding a new location for 2 projects (Lily and Erica) located within Landscape Unit B.
- Although the layout as proposed will not impact on these resources, a 300m no development buffer area should be implemented around the following heritage resources to ensure that no impact takes place: 130277, 130278, 130279 and 130280.
- The design criteria identified in section 5.4 above must be adopted and implemented.
- A pre-construction walk down of the proposed development areas is required to identify any significant archaeological heritage, and to inform the micro-siting of the PV infrastructure.
- The HWC Chance Fossil Finds Protocol is implemented for the duration of construction activities.

- Should any archaeological resources or unmarked burials be impacted or uncovered during the course of development, work must cease in that area and HWC must be contacted regarding the appropriate next steps.

**28.2 Proposed development of the Electrical Grid Infrastructure (EGI) for the Kolkies Cluster Solar Energy Facility on Farm Die Brak 241 (Portion 0); Farm Sadawa 239 (Portion 0); and Farm no. 240 (Portion 0), Touwsrivier: NM HM/ CAPE WINELANDS/ WITZENBERG/ FARM DIE BRAK 241 (PORTION 0); FARM SADAWA 239 (PORTION 0); AND FARM NO. 240 (PORTION 0)
Case No: 21041901SB0419E**

Revised HIA and associated documentation prepared by CTS Heritage

Ms Stephanie Barnardt introduced the item.

Ms Jenna Lavin and Mr Nic Wiltshire were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The revised report had been referred back to the Committee.
- The Committee noted that the additional archaeological survey had a much improved coverage of the study area.

COMMENT

The Committee endorsed the AIA and PIA by CTS Heritage dated September 2021 with the following recommendations on page 51-2 of the HIA:

- Although the layout as proposed will not impact on significant heritage resources, a 300m no development buffer area should be implemented around the following heritage resources to ensure that no impact takes place: 130277, 130278, 130279 and 130280.
- A 300m no development buffer area must be implemented from the banks of the major river systems that cross the property. For the purposes of power line pylon placement, where micro-siting confirms no heritage features will be disturbed, such pylons only may be permitted within this buffer zone.
- The HWC Chance Fossil Finds Protocol is implemented for the duration of construction activities.
- A pre-construction walkdown of the final alignment must be completed by an archaeologist to inform the micro-siting of the pylon placement.
- The design criteria identified in section 5.4 above must be adopted and implemented.
- Should any archaeological resources or unmarked burials be impacted or uncovered during the course of development, work must cease in that area and HWC must be contacted regarding the appropriate next steps.

**28.3 Proposed development of the Electrical Grid Infrastructure (EGI) for the Sadawa Cluster Solar Energy Facility near Touwsrivier, Western Cape: NM HM/ CAPE WINELANDS/ WITZENBERG/ FARM DIE BRAK 241 (PORTION 0); FARM MISGUNST 239 (PORTION 0); AND FARM NO. 240 (PORTION 0 OF)
Case No: 21041904SB0419E**

Revised HIA and associated documentation prepared by CTS Heritage

Ms Stephanie Barnardt introduced the item.

Ms Jenna Lavin and Mr Nic Wiltshire were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The revised report had been referred back to the Committee.
- The Committee noted that the additional archaeological survey had a much improved coverage of the study area.

COMMENT

The Committee endorsed the AIA and PIA by CTS Heritage dated September 2021 with the following recommendations on page 52 of the HIA:

- The alternatives highlighted in table 4 above are preferred from a heritage perspective.
- Should the alignment alternatives that cross into the Kolkies PV Suite Study Area be implemented, a 300m buffer from the banks of the large river system that crosses the property must be implemented. For the purposes of power line pylon placement, where micro-siting confirms no heritage features will be disturbed, such pylons only may be permitted within this buffer zone.
- Should the alignment alternatives that lead towards the existing Kappa Substation be implemented, micro-siting of the pylon placement must take place to ensure that no impact occurs to Site GK132.
- A 500m no development buffer must be implemented around sites SDW023 and SDW031.
- The design criteria identified in section 5.4 above must be adopted and implemented.
- A pre-construction walk down of the proposed development areas is required to identify any significant archaeological heritage, and to inform the micro-siting of the pylon placement.
- The HWC Chance Fossil Finds Protocol is implemented for the duration of construction activities.
- Should any archaeological resources or unmarked burials be impacted or uncovered during the course of development, work must cease in that area and HWC must be contacted regarding the appropriate next steps.

28.4 Proposed development of the Sadawa Solar Energy Facility Suite, Touwsrivier :NM HM/ CAPE WINELANDS/ WITZENBERG/ FARM MISGUNST 239 (PTN 0):MA Case No: 21041905SB0419E

Revised HIA and associated documentation prepared by CTS Heritage

Ms Stephanie Barnardt introduced the item.

Ms Jenna Lavin and Mr Nic Wiltshire were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The revised report had been referred back to the Committee.
- The Committee noted that the additional archaeological survey had a much improved coverage of the study area.

COMMENT

The Committee endorsed the AIA and PIA by CTS Heritage dated September 2021 with the following recommendations on page 49 of the HIA:

- Alternative 2 as articulated in section 5.3 above is preferred from a heritage perspective, i.e. proceeding as anticipated with 3 projects (Waboom, Sadawa and Misgunst) in their preferred locations as per Map 1a,

implementing the recommended 500m no-go buffer around sites SDW023 and SDW031 which will impact on the layout of the Breede Project Layout and the Alternative Substation location is preferred for the Breede PV Project.

- The design criteria identified in section 5.4 above must be adopted and implemented.
- A pre-construction walk down of the proposed development areas is required to identify any significant archaeological heritage, and to inform the micro-siting of the PV infrastructure.
- The HWC Chance Fossil Finds Protocol is implemented for the duration of construction activities.
- Should any archaeological resources or unmarked burials be impacted or uncovered during the course of development, work must cease in that area and HWC must be contacted regarding the appropriate next steps.

**28.5 Proposed development of the Sadawa Solar Energy Facility Collector Substation, Touwsrivier HM/ CAPE WINELANDS/ WITZENBERG/ FARM SADAWA 239 (PTN 0): MA
Case No: 21041903SB0419E**

Revised HIA and associated documentation prepared by CTS Heritage

Ms Stephanie Barnardt introduced the item.

Ms Jenna Lavin and Mr Nic Wiltshire were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The revised report had been referred back to the Committee.
- The Committee noted that the additional archaeological survey had a much improved coverage of the study area.

COMMENT

The Committee endorsed the AIA and PIA by CTS Heritage dated September 2021 with the following recommendations on page 43 of the HIA:

- There is no preferred alternative location for the Sadawa Collector Substation from a heritage perspective.
- The design criteria identified in section 5.4 above must be adopted and implemented.
- The HWC Chance Fossil Finds Protocol is implemented for the duration of construction activities.
- Should any archaeological resources or unmarked burials be impacted or uncovered during the course of development, work must cease in that area and HWC must be contacted regarding the appropriate next steps.

**28.6 Proposed development of the Pienaarspoort Wind Energy Facility 2 on Farms Drinkwaterskloof 251 (RE) and Melkbosch Kraal 250 portion 1 near Touwsrivier": NM
HM/ CAPE WINELANDS/ WITZENBERG/ FARM 251, 250
Case No: 20092104SB0921E**

HIA and associated documentation prepared by CTS Heritage

Ms Stephanie Barnardt introduced the item.

Ms Jenna Lavin and Mr Nic Wiltshire were present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The Committee discussed the matter of graves. No burial cairns were identified.
- The Committee noted the need for a pre-construction archaeological survey if there were any changes to the current layout.

COMMENT

The Committee endorsed the AIA and PIA by CTS Heritage dated September 2021 with the following recommendations on page 40 of the HIA:

- Development Alternative 2 is implemented
- The developers preferred EGI Option (underground) is preferred from a heritage perspective
- A no development area of 50m is implemented around sites PP2_EGI_21, 26 and 27
- A 900m buffer area implemented around farm werfs (Drinkwaterskloof PP2_10 and Melkboschkraal, PT5). The turbines currently proposed to be located within this buffer area must have their positions adjusted accordingly.
- The Environmental Site Officer (ESO) should be made aware of the possibility of important fossil remains (bones, teeth, fish, petrified wood, plant-rich horizons etc) being found or unearthed during the construction phase of the development. The ESO must monitor for fossil material of all major surface clearance and deeper (> 1m) excavations on an on-going basis during the construction phase. The HWC Chance Fossil Finds Procedure must be used in this regard.
- The proposed turbines located within Landscape Units A, B and C (and marked in red in Figure 6.1) must be removed.
- The design criteria identified in section 5.1 above must be adopted and implemented in the design and layout of the development.
- A pre-construction archaeological survey must be undertaken if there are any changes to this layout.
- Should any evidence of archaeological sites or remains (e.g., remnants of stone-made structures, indigenous ceramics, bones, stone artefacts, ostrich eggshell fragments, charcoal and ash concentrations), fossils or other categories of heritage resources be found during the proposed development, work must cease, and HWC must be contacted immediately regarding an appropriate way forward.
- If unmarked human burials are uncovered, work must cease, and HWC must be contacted immediately regarding an appropriate way forward as per section 36(6) of the NH

28.7 Proposed development of primary dwelling on Ptn 19 of Farm 257 Misgunt Aan de Gouritz River Mossel Bay:NM HM/GARDEN ROUTE/ MOSSEL BAY / PTN 19 OF FARM 257

Case No: 20072309SB0729E

HIA and associated documentation prepared by Dr Peter Nilssen

Ms Stephanie Barnardt introduced the item.

Dr Peter Nilssen was present and took part in the discussion.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The Committee noted that the development falls within the clear zone of the SAHRIS Paleo Map, which means that not enough information is available to form an opinion. The Committee requires further information on the paleontological sensitivity of the site.
- The Committee noted the absence of any background information on the archaeological significance of the area as is set out in the Minimum Standards for Archaeological Reports (June 2021).

FURTHER REQUIREMENTS

The HIA does not comply with the requirements of Section 38 (8) as it does not adequately address the potential significance of palaeontology and archaeology and potential impacts.

**28.8 Proposed Kwagga 1 Wind Energy Facility, "Portion 3 of the Farm Tyger Poort No. 376 Remainder of the Farm Dwaalfontein Wes No. 377, Portion 1 of the Farm Dwaalfontein Wes No. 377 Remainder of the Farm Dwaalfontein No. 379" Beaufort West: NM
HM/CENTRAL KAROO/BEAUFORT WEST/ PTN 3 OF FARM 376, RE FARM 377,PTN 1 OF FARM 377, RE FARM 379
Case No: 201101914SB0211E**

HIA and associated documentation prepared by ASHA Consulting

JO and CM recused themselves and left the meeting after the discussion.

Ms Ayanda Mdludlu introduced the item.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The Committee was informed that the field study was undertaken to identify heritage resources before development of the layout for the wind farm which meant that the actual footprint was not surveyed.
- It was noted that the palaeontological significance of the area was very high, and for this reason a pre-construction palaeontological survey of areas which had not been assessed, was recommended.

COMMENT

The Committee endorsed the AIA and PIA (dated October 2021) with the following recommendations on page 51 of the HIA:

- A pre-construction palaeontological survey of unsurveyed, potentially sensitive sectors of the authorised layout by a palaeontologist (mitigation recommendations will need to be made afterwards as necessary);
- Monitoring for fossils on an on-going basis by the ECO during the construction phase;
- A Chance Fossil Finds Procedure must be in place;
- A pre-construction archaeological survey of the authorised layout must be commissioned to determine whether any significant archaeological sites still lie within the final footprint (mitigation recommendations will need to be made afterwards as necessary);
- If the historical rubbish midden at waypoint 419 cannot be avoided then it should be excavated and recorded prior to construction;
- No materials (e.g. rocks or bricks) may be removed from any historical sites;
- The access road should be rerouted slightly so as to pass between the structures at waypoint 309 in such a way that the distance between road and structures is maximised;
- The options for the substation hub and permanent laydown areas that are lowest in elevation are preferred;

- Temporary laydown areas must be fully rehabilitated after construction;
- Lighting must be designed to minimise light pollution (e.g. use down lighting, motion sensors), with the red safety lights on the tops of the turbines being of particular concern (a radar-based Audio Visual Warning System should be used);
- The colours of buildings, roofs, etc should be muted and designed to blend with the natural landscape; and
- If any archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.

28.9 Proposed Kwagga 2 Wind Energy Facility, Remainder of the Farm Wolve Kraal No. 17 (Beaufort West RD); Portions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 of the Farm Wolve Kraal No.17 (Beaufort West RD) The Farm Annex Wolve Kraal No. 18, (Beaufort West RD); The Farm Annex Welbedacht No.19 (Beaufort West RD) "Beaufort West ":NM

**HM/CENTRAL KAROO/BEAUFORT WEST/ FARM 17, PTN 1,2,6,7,8,9,10,11,12 OF FARM 17, 18, 19
Case No: 20101915SB0211E**

HIA and associated documentation prepared by ASHA Consulting

Ms Ayanda Mdludlu introduced the item.

JO and CM recused themselves and left the meeting after the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- The Committee was informed that the field study was undertaken to identify heritage resources before development of the layout for the wind farm which meant that the actual footprint was not surveyed.
- It was noted that the palaeo significance of the area was very high, and for this reason a pre-construction palaeontological survey of areas which had not been assessed, was recommended.

COMMENT

The Committee endorsed the AIA and PIA (dated October 2021) with the following recommendations on page 54 of the HIA:

- A pre-construction palaeontological survey of unsurveyed, potentially sensitive sectors of the authorised layout by a palaeontologist (mitigation recommendations will need to be made afterwards as necessary);
- Monitoring for fossils on an on-going basis by the ECO during the construction phase;
- A Chance Fossil Finds Procedure must be in place;
- A pre-construction archaeological survey of the authorised layout must be commissioned to determine whether any significant archaeological sites still lie within the final footprint (mitigation recommendations will need to be made afterwards as necessary);
- If the historical rubbish midden at waypoint 419 cannot be avoided then it should be excavated and recorded prior to construction;
- No materials (e.g. rocks or bricks) may be removed from any historical sites;

- The options for the substation hub and permanent laydown areas that are lowest in elevation are preferred;
- Temporary laydown areas must be fully rehabilitated after construction;
- Lighting must be designed to minimise light pollution (e.g. use down lighting, motion sensors), with the red safety lights on the tops of the turbines being of particular concern (a radar-based Audio Visual Warning System should be used);
- The colours of buildings, roofs, etc should be muted and designed to blend with the natural landscape; and
- If any archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.

**28.10 Proposed Kwagga 3 Wind Energy Facility, ""Portions 1, 2, 3 of the Farm Arthurs Kraal No. 386 (Beaufort West RD), Portion 5, 7 of the Farm Muis Kraal No. 373, (Beaufort West RD) Portions 4, 5, 6, 8 of the Farm Cyferfontein, No. 115 (Prince Albert RD), The Farm Annex Taaibos No. 21 (Prince Albert RD), Beaufort West :NM
HM/CENTRAL KAROO/BEAUFORT WEST/ PTN 1,2,3 OF FARM 386, PTN 5,7 OF FARM 373, PTN 4,5,6,8 OF FARM 115, FARM 21
Case No: 20101916SB0211E**

HIA and associated documentation prepared by ASHA Consulting

Ms Ayanda Mdludlu introduced the item.

JO and CM recused themselves and left the meeting after the discussion.

DISCUSSION

- The Committee was informed that the field study was undertaken to identify heritage resources before development of the layout for the wind farm which meant that the actual footprint was not surveyed.
- It was noted that the palaeo significance of the area was very high, and for this reason a pre-construction palaeontological survey of areas which had not been assessed, was recommended.

COMMENT

The Committee endorses the AIA and PIA (dated October 2021) with the following recommendations on page 54-55 of the HIA:

The proposed Kwagga WEF 3 should be authorised but subject to the following conditions which should be incorporated into the Environmental Authorisation:

- A pre-construction palaeontological survey of unsurveyed, potentially sensitive sectors of the authorised layout by a palaeontologist (mitigation recommendations will need to be made afterwards as necessary);
- Monitoring for fossils on an on-going basis by the ECO during the construction phase;
- A Chance Fossil Finds Procedure must be in place;
- A pre-construction archaeological survey of the authorised layout must be commissioned to determine whether any significant archaeological sites still lie within the final footprint (mitigation recommendations will need to be made afterwards as necessary);
- No materials (e.g. rocks or bricks) may be removed from any historical sites;

- The options for the substation hub and permanent laydown areas that are lowest in elevation and/or are furthest from the R308 are preferred;
- Temporary laydown areas must be fully rehabilitated after construction;
- Lighting must be designed to minimise light pollution (e.g. use down lighting, motion sensors), with the red safety lights on the tops of the turbines being of particular concern (a radar-based Audio Visual Warning System should be used);
- The colours of buildings, roofs, etc should be muted and designed to blend with the natural landscape; and
- If any archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.

29. SECTION 27: PROVINCIAL HERITAGE SITE

29.1 Proposed additions and alterations on Erf 699, Somerset College, Bredell Road, Somerset West:NM HM/CAPE TOWN METROPOLITAN/ SOMERSET WEST/ ERF 699 Case No: 21071407SB0817E

Application form and relevant documentation prepared by Annemie Vermeulen

Ms Stephanie Barnardt introduced the item.

DISCUSSION:

Amongst other things, the following was discussed:

- The Committee noted, that although there was not sufficient information to support archaeological monitoring, there is a possibility of potential impacts to sub-surface material.
- It was not clear from the documentation whether the building was a PHS.

COMMENT

The APM Committee felt that there were no further archaeological concerns. However, if archaeological material is encountered during construction, an archaeologist must be contacted.

30. REPORT BACK FROM OTHER MEETINGS WHEN RELEVANT

31. OTHER MATTERS

32. ADOPTION OF DECISIONS AND RESOLUTIONS

The Committee adopted the resolutions and decisions. CM moves to adopt the decisions and resolutions and JO seconded.

33. CLOSURE

The meeting adjourned at: 13:05

34. DATE OF NEXT MEETING:

CHAIRPERSON *L. E. Webley*

DATE 14 January 2022

SECRETARY: A. Brown

DATE: 14 January 2022

APPROVED